arXiv:1511.06139v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 19 Nov 2015

Statistical physics of directional, stochastic chains with memory

J. Ricardo Arias-Gonzalez*
Instituto Madrileño de Estudios Avanzados en Nanociencia, C/Faraday 9, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain and
CNB-CSIC-IMDEA Nanociencia Associated Unit "Unidad de Nanobiotecnología"

(Dated: November 20, 2015)

Stochastic chains represent a wide and key variety of phenomena in many branches of science within the context of Information Theory and Thermodynamics. They are typically approached by a sequence of independent events or by a memoryless Markov process. Here, we demonstrate that when memory is introduced, the statistics of the system depends on the mechanism by which objects or symbols are assembled, even in the slow dynamics limit wherein friction can be neglected. To analyse these systems, we introduce a sequence-dependent partition function, investigate its properties and compare it to the standard normalization defined by the statistical physics of ensembles. Then, we study the behaviour of the entropy and the internal energy in this intrinsic, directional chains finding that they vary around their thermally-induced equilibrium analogues due to memory effects. We anticipate that our results are necessary to interpret configurational order and information transfer in many molecular systems within Materials Science, Biophysics, Communication and Engineering.

Many processes in nature are directional. For example, replication, transcription and translation in Biology involve molecular machines that polymerize individual molecular subunits into linear chains in only one direction out of two [1, 2]. These systems are paradigmatic of the relation between thermodynamic and information entropy because the chaining of nucleotides or aminoacids according to a template inherently carries genetic information from which species propagate [3, 4]. More in depth, an entropic configuration of molecular subunits involves a symbol sequence that conveys information from DNA to proteins. Specific sequences are recognized by specialized proteins that can not only correlate present subunit insertion but also proofread and edit errors, a process that is possible thanks to the existence of memory. Language writing, copying, reading and editing are also directional, linear processes in which symbols are arranged and correlated with previous ones to make meaningful sentences [5]. The existence of memory effects and directionality in Physics and Information Theory, not only regarding natural but also for the development of artificial systems, is likewise an essential matter with profound roots [6–8].

Nanoscale chains are subjected to fluctuations and therefore, a statistical treatment is necessary to understand their physics. In equilibrium, linear systems are normally described by a density operator, as defined by the Boltzmann exponential of the Hamiltonian normalized to the trace [9, 10]. Linear chains that involve an assembly dynamics are directional arrangements with history, and therefore these systems implicitly comprise spatial and temporal aspects. Memoryless stochastic processes, namely those whose evolution only depend on present events, are normally treated by Markovian conditional probabilities and general non-Markovian processes are treated by memory *kernel* functions and dynamical maps [11–14], which involve phenomenological ansatzs. However, to our knowledge, the full memory in a linear stochastic chain has not been considered, this problem being especially important to relate non-Markovianity to stochastic processes that can be characterized by a partition function.

In the following, we show that stochastic chains with memory that are fueled in one direction in the absence of friction cannot be treated by the standard statistical ensemble normalization but by a sequence-dependent partition function. To do this, we use conditional probabilities of full extent to previous neighbours for the growing chain, i.e. we consider the full memory of the chain, thus including correlations over present and all the past events. For the sake of brevity, the terms *memory* and *history* will hereafter refer to both present and past events.

The system, either quantum or classic, is built by ordering objects on a linear chain [10, 15]. We assume that the interaction of one object, i, with the rest, $1,\ldots,i-1,i+1,\ldots,n$, is restricted to its previous neighbours, $1,2,\ldots,i-1$. Although the interaction with forward members can exist, we suppose that it does not affect the sequence construction.

A pure state, ν (or $|\nu\rangle$ in quantum notation), of the system is specified by a sequence of objects, $x_1,\ldots,x_n$, which stem from a multivaluate random variable $\mathbf{X}$, as denoted by:

$$\nu = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n\}\,. \tag{1}$$

Values x_i represent symbols from an alphabet, particles from a set of fixed types, or physical variables (position, momentum, spin, vibrational frequency, occupation number, etc.). Their alphabet or domain will be denoted by $\mathcal{X}$. Each random variable is in turn a function of several variables of the microscopic, local environment, Ω, as for example, pressure, temperature, ionic conditions or pH.

The most general Hamiltonian is:

$$H(\mathbf{X}) \equiv H(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H(X_i; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1), \quad (2)$$

where, if needed, each term of the expansion, $H(X_i; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1)$ can in turn be expanded as:

$$H(X_i; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1) = H^0(X_i) + H^{(int)}(X_i; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1) + \frac{1}{n}V. \quad (3)$$

$H^0(X_i)$ may represent, for example, translational and rotational fluctuations, but generally speaking these energies are due to phenomena that take place at position i on the chain; $H^{(int)}(X_i; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1)$ is the interaction Hamiltonian and V/n represents an existing external potential similarly acting on all the objects. Each object is therefore affected by its previous neighbours and by external phenomena at position i, as $X_i(\Omega)$.

The energy of state ν is:

$$E_\nu \equiv E(\mathbf{x}) = E(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(x_i; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1), \quad (4)$$

where the *partial energy* $E(x_i; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1)$ is the energy of object x_i provided that the previous objects (which constitute a *partial sequence*) are $(x_1, \ldots x_{i-1})$. Energies E_ν are formally obtained in the quantum case as eigenvalues of H, $E_\nu = \langle \nu | H(\mathbf{X}) | \nu \rangle$, where $|\nu\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, the corresponding eigenvectors in a Hilbert space, are stationary solutions of $H|\nu\rangle = E_\nu |\nu\rangle$, with $\langle \nu | \nu' \rangle = \delta_{\nu\nu'}$. Each vector $|\nu\rangle$ is constructed on the basis of the distinguishability and symmetry of the quantum objects that comprise the chain.

The probability of a state is:

$$p_\nu \equiv \Pr\{X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n\} = p(\mathbf{x}) = p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = p(x_1)p(x_2|x_1)\cdots p(x_n|x_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1), \quad (5)$$

where the last part of the equation is the general expansion of the joint probability as a product of conditional probabilities [16]. It can be factorized since the probability at each step, i, depends only on the previous neighbours.

The Gibbs entropy of the system is:

$$S(X_1, \ldots, X_n) = -k\langle \ln p \rangle = -k \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} p_\nu \ln p_\nu = -k \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \ln p(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad (6)$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, "ln" the natural logarithm and N, the number of microstates. The mean (internal) energy of the system is:

$$\langle E \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} p_\nu E_\nu = \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) E(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \quad (7)$$

where N is the number of microstates.

In equilibrium with balanced flows of matter or energy, probabilities can be normalized to a partition function in the form:

$$Z(\beta, n) \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{N} \exp[-\beta E_\nu] = \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} \exp[-\beta E(x_1, \ldots, x_n)] = \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_n} \exp\left[-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(x_i; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1)\right], \quad (8)$$

where $\beta = 1/kT$ and T is the absolute temperature. The probability of a configuration is thus:

$$p_\nu = \frac{\exp(-\beta E_\nu)}{Z}. \quad (9)$$

This equation represents the well-known equilibrium probability for a system that has thermalized into a particular configuration. No driving forces other than those that guide the spontaneous process are present. Then, neither a temporal directionality is imposed (i.e. object at position i could have been incorporated or taken its final value, x_i, before or after that at position $i+1$, x_{i+1}) nor a one-by-one incorporation is assumed. What is more, this formalism involves that objects can fluctuate non-sequentially among their different values before a final configuration is reached. This equilibrium, which involves interacting objects, is susceptible to be solved paralleling the Ising model.

Many processes are, however, directional, like for example DNA replication, in which a polymerase protein copies a template DNA strand from the 5' to the 3' end [17, 18], or English writing, which takes place from left to right. Directionality can be imposed by physicochemical constraints or rules, irrespectively of whether the process is quasistatic (i.e. with such a slow dynamics that it approaches equilibrium conditions at each step) or non-equilibrium. For such directional processes, the probability has to be calculated at each step as:

$$p(x_i|x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1) = \frac{e^{-\beta E(x_i; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1)}}{\sum_{x_i'} e^{-\beta E\left(x_i'; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1\right)}}. \quad (10)$$

This mechanism constraints the sequence in which the different configurations are accessible at every step and therefore, even though each configuration may need an infinite time to be constructed, this mechanism does not involve a global thermalization of the system. The

states so accessed comprise all the possible ones, as in Eq. (8). These states, or the individual steps to reach them, should not be described in general as metastable since, once a configuration has been achieved, the system may not abandon it spontaneously if the state is sufficiently stable. However, the total probability of a configuration is strictly different than that given by Eq. (9) and can be expressed as:

$$p_\nu^{(D)} = \frac{\exp\left(-\beta E_\nu\right)}{Z_\nu}, \tag{11}$$

where superindex "D" denotes a directional mechanism and Z_ν is given by:

$$Z_\nu(\beta, n) \equiv \sum_{x_1', \ldots, x_n'} \exp\left[-\beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_i'; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1\right)\right]. \tag{12}$$

We can name Z_ν *sequence-dependent partition function* since it depends on previous events (not primed variables in Eq. (12)). The fact that $\sum_\nu p_\nu^{(D)} = 1$ follows from the application of the fact that $\sum_{x_i} p\left(x_i | x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1\right) = 1$, see Eq. (10), into Eq. (5).

The partition function is not therefore unique for directional processes and this represents the main message of this article, the consequences and physical meaning of which will be analysed below.

If we neglect interactions with previous neighbours, Eqs. (8) and (12) converge to the same value and probabilities Eqs. (9) and (11) collapse into the same expression. In other words, in the absence of previous-neighbour interactions, directionality and global thermalization into equilibrium are equivalent. This was shown for DNA replication elsewhere [18] and will be rigorously demonstrated later on this article.

It is easy to demonstrate the following properties for the sequence-dependent partition function:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{Z_\nu} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}, \quad \left\langle Z_\nu \right\rangle_D = Z, \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_\nu Z_\nu \geq Z, \quad \exp\left(-\beta E_\nu\right) \leq Z_\nu, \tag{14}$$

where subindex "D" in Eq. (13) indicates that the mean has been taken by using the directional probability given by Eq. (11) whereas the absence of this subindex indicates the use of the probability given by Eq. (9). The proof of, for example, Eq. (13) is: $1 = \sum_\nu p_\nu = (1/Z)\sum_\nu Z_\nu p_\nu^{(D)} = \left\langle Z_\nu \right\rangle_D / Z$. From Eq. (13), it is easy to understand that Z_ν / Z can be greater, equal or smaller than 1; likewise, that $\left\langle p_\nu^{(D)} / p_\nu \right\rangle = 1$ and that $\left\langle p_\nu / p_\nu^{(D)} \right\rangle_D = 1$.

To continue, we need to introduce the *two-sequence Hamiltonian*, $\hat{H}$, as:

$$\hat{H}\left(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}\right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} H\left(X_i'; X_{i-1}, \ldots, X_1\right), \tag{15}$$

whose mathematical expression fulfills $\hat{H}\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}\right) \sim H\left(\mathbf{X}\right)$. This Hamiltonian can be introduced in Quantum Statistics as a sesquilinear form, $\hat{H} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$, namely, $(\langle\mu'| + \langle\nu'|)\hat{H}(|\nu\rangle + |\mu\rangle) = \langle\mu'|\,\hat{H}\,|\nu\rangle + \langle\mu'|\,\hat{H}\,|\mu\rangle + \langle\nu'|\,\hat{H}\,|\nu\rangle + \langle\nu'|\,\hat{H}\,|\mu\rangle$, and $\langle(a)\nu'|\,\hat{H}\,|(b)\nu\rangle = \overline{a}b\,\langle\nu'|\,\hat{H}\,|\nu\rangle$, for all $|\mu\rangle, |\mu'\rangle, |\nu\rangle, |\nu'\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and all a and $b \in \mathbb{C}$, being $\overline{a}$ the complex conjugate of a, in contrast to H, which is a linear operator $H : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. The complex bilinear form $\hat{H}$ does not represent an observable, the energy operator was actually given in Eq. (2). The matrix elements of $\hat{H}$ are:

$$\left\langle \nu' \right| \hat{H}\left(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}\right) \left| \nu \right\rangle = E_{\nu'\nu}, \tag{16}$$

where $E_{\nu'\nu}$ is the *two-sequence energy*:

$$E_{\nu'\nu} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_i'; x_{i-1}, \ldots, x_1\right), \tag{17}$$

and $\nu' = \left\{x_1', x_2', \ldots, x_i', \ldots, x_{n-1}', x_n'\right\}$. Then, the sequence-dependent partition function, Eq. (12), can be spelled as:

$$Z_\nu \equiv \sum_{\nu'=1}^{N} \exp\left(-\beta E_{\nu'\nu}\right). \tag{18}$$

To fully understand the physical meaning and relationship between the two partition functions, we represent their terms in matrix form:

$$\mathbf{Z} = \left(Z_{\nu'\nu}\right) = \left(e^{-\beta E_{\nu'\nu}}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\beta E_1} & e^{-\beta E_{12}} & \ldots & e^{-\beta E_{1N}} \\ e^{-\beta E_{21}} & e^{-\beta E_2} & \ldots & e^{-\beta E_{2N}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e^{-\beta E_{N1}} & e^{-\beta E_{N2}} & \ldots & e^{-\beta E_N} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

where we have used that $E_{\nu\nu} = E_\nu$. This matrix is easily defined in the Quantum Statistics formalism as:

$$Z_{\nu'\nu} = \left\langle \nu' \right| e^{-\beta \hat{H}\left(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}\right)} \left| \nu \right\rangle, \tag{20}$$

with which the standard partition function conserves its quantum definition,

$$Z = \mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{Z} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\exp\left[-\beta \hat{H}\left(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}\right)\right]\right\} = \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\exp\left[-\beta H\left(\mathbf{X}\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{21}$$

The formalism introduced in Eqs. (10-21) allows the sequence-dependent partition function, Eq. (12), to be integrated into the Statistical Physics framework. In short, a *directional* mechanism in a quasistatic linear chain construction is introduced by defining probabilities (Eq. (11)) whose normalization (Eq. (12)) depends on their history. Such normalization is obtained by summing up over the column elements on the matrix representation of the two-sequence Hamiltonian (Eq. (15)). It

is noticeable that the density operator, ρ for quantum linear, stochastic chains with memory does not conserve the trace $\mathrm{Tr}\,\rho = 1$, but the sum over the elements of each individual column in its matrix representation, which is a consequence of the saturation of the conditional probabilities, $\sum_{x_i} p\left(x_i|x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) = 1$, that we saw above.

With the aim of providing meaning to the above treatment, we analyse next the internal energy and the thermodynamic entropy of such a sequential chain system. Following Eq. (7), the internal energy can be expressed as:

$$\langle E\rangle = \left\langle \frac{Z_\nu}{Z} E_\nu \right\rangle_D, \quad \langle E\rangle_D = \left\langle \frac{Z}{Z_\nu} E_\nu \right\rangle. \tag{22}$$

Similarly, following Eq. (6), the entropy reads:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= -k\left\langle \frac{Z_\nu}{Z}\ln\frac{Z_\nu}{Z}\right\rangle_D - k\left\langle \frac{Z_\nu}{Z}\ln p_\nu^{(D)}\right\rangle_D, \\ S^{(D)} &= -k\left\langle \frac{Z}{Z_\nu}\ln\frac{Z}{Z_\nu}\right\rangle - k\left\langle \frac{Z}{Z_\nu}\ln p_\nu\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $S^{(D)}$ is the Gibbs entropy of the directional chain, $S^{(D)}(X_1,\ldots,X_n) = -k\left\langle \ln p^{(D)}\right\rangle_D = -k\sum_{\nu=1}^{N} p_\nu^{(D)}\ln p_\nu^{(D)}$.

To gain physical insight, we study next the case in which the chain construction is sufficiently smoothly-dependent on its history. First, we formulate and demonstrate the following theorem:

Theorem (Independence limit)**.** *Let ν be a stochastic, linear chain with memory (Eq. (1)) sequentially constructed $i : 1 \to n$. Let Z and Z_ν be the normal (equilibrium) and the sequence-dependent partition functions (Eqs. (8) and (12,18), respectively), and let $E_i = E\left(x_i; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right)$ and $E_i' = E\left(x_i; x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1'\right)$ be the energies of object x_i relative to two different partial sequences (Eq. (4)). If the normalized energy difference $|E_i - E_i'|/kT \to 0$, $\forall i$, then $Z/Z_\nu \to 1$.*

This theorem provides the adequate link between directionally-driven processes and equilibrium thermodynamics in the absence of friction. It states that when memory effects are weak enough, the construction of a stochastic chain by a defined mechanism approaches an equilibrium thermalization. *Weak enough* here means that the the energetic cost for incorporating any new object in the growing chain is affected by a sufficiently low quantity with respect to the thermal energy level (kT) due to the sequence of previous incorporations.

This theorem also applies when sequence-dependent energies, E_i and E_i', are much lower than the thermal level, kT, at every step i. Certainly, $E_i, E_i' \ll kT \Rightarrow |E_i - E_i'| \ll kT$. This is the high-temperature limit in which the thermal energy is so large compared to those associated to neighbouring interactions that the system dynamics is dominated by random fluctuations. This is the case of the ideal gas. In particular, following Eq. (3), the partial energy of a configuration can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left(x_i; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) \\ &= E^0(x_i) + E^{(int)}\left(x_i; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) + \frac{1}{n}V \\ &= E_i^0 + E_i + \frac{1}{n}V, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where we have identified $E_i^0 = E^0(x_i)$ and $E_i = E^{(int)}\left(x_i; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right)$. In these conditions, $E\left(x_i; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) - E\left(x_i; x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1'\right) = E_i - E_i' \ll kT$, and the theorem applies.

Proof. When memory effects are sufficiently mild, we can express the partial energies as:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(x_i'; x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1'\right) &= E\left(x_i'; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) \\ &\quad + \epsilon\left(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $\epsilon_i \equiv \epsilon\left(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right)$ is the energy difference between energies associated to different, partial sequences $(x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1')$ and $(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1)$. For all i, it fulfills:

$$\epsilon(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1) = 0, \quad \text{if } \left(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1\right) = \left(x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1'\right), \text{ and} \tag{26}$$

$$|\epsilon(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1)| \ll kT, \quad \text{otherwise.} \tag{27}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\nu'} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(x_i'; x_{i-1}',\ldots,x_1') \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(x_i'; x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_1) \\ &= E_{\nu'\nu} + \epsilon_\nu, \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where we have used the definition of the two-sequence energy, Eq. (17), and that $\epsilon_\nu \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_i$ is a sequence-dependent small energy. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} Z - Z_\nu &= \sum_{\nu'=1}^{N}\exp\left(-\beta E_{\nu'}\right) - \sum_{\nu'=1}^{N}\exp\left(-\beta E_{\nu'\nu}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu'=1}^{N}\exp\left(-\beta E_{\nu'\nu}\right)\left[\exp\left(-\beta\epsilon_\nu\right) - 1\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

We expand $\exp(-\beta\epsilon_\nu)$ in the limit $\beta\epsilon_i \to 0, \forall i$:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(-\beta\epsilon_\nu\right) &= \exp\left(-\beta\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\exp\left(-\beta\epsilon_i\right) \\ &\approx 1 - \beta\sum_{i=1}^{n}\epsilon_i = 1 - \beta\epsilon_\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

From Eq. (29), it follows that

$$Z - Z_\nu \approx Z_\nu\left(-\beta\epsilon_\nu\right) = \varepsilon_\nu Z_\nu, \tag{31}$$

where $-\beta\epsilon_\nu \equiv \varepsilon_\nu$ is a small number. Then, $Z/Z_\nu \approx 1+\varepsilon_\nu$ with $\varepsilon_\nu \sim 0$. □

As previously stated and further reflected in this demonstration, the limit in the theorem is reached when interactions with previous neighbours are neglected; in such a case, X_i can be approximated by independent random variables.

Let's expand now the internal energy and the entropy, Eqs. (22) and (23), respectively, in the limit $Z/Z_\nu \sim 1$ by setting $Z/Z_\nu = 1 + \varepsilon_\nu$, being ε_ν a real, small number, as defined in the above demonstration. Under such conditions, the internal energy and entropy, Eqs. (22) and Eq. (23), respectively, read:

$$\langle E \rangle_D = \langle E \rangle + \langle \varepsilon_\nu E_\nu \rangle \,, \tag{32}$$

$$S^{(D)} = S - k \langle \varepsilon_\nu \ln p_\nu \rangle \,; \tag{33}$$

the last equation is valid for $|\varepsilon_\nu| < 1$, which is the radius of convergence of the MacLaurin series for $\ln(1 + \varepsilon_\nu)$. These expressions state that both the internal energy and the entropy fluctuate around the values given by the thermal equilibrium process, which comprise all possible mechanisms in the limit of no friction, when memory effects are low.

The stochastic chain described as a thermal equilibrium process, which includes a Boltzmann, scalar partition function, does not involve a mechanism in the chain construction, and therefore it comprises all the possible pathways. Directional chain construction, in contrast, independently of whether it can be approached by an equilibrium stepping dynamics or not, involves a mechanism that drives the building of the chain through a certain microscopic pathway. In both cases, all the possible configurations are accessible, but in the latter, directionality dictates how the chain must be constructed.

We have shown that the physics of a stochastic linear chain construction depends on the existence of a directionality in the presence of memory. We have demonstrated that configurational probabilities for thermal equilibrium collapse are strictly different than those for the directional construction. Then, we have established their thermodynamic relations, thus interpreting their physical meaning in terms of the internal energy and entropy. Directionality correlates with a time arrow, in contrast to thermal equilibrium, which is timeless. Besides, the fact that the partition function depends on the history is a consequence of the fact that a thermodynamic limit cannot be defined for driven stochastic processes. Therefore, state variables such as the entropy, the mean energy or the free energy cannot be defined in the thermodynamic limit. Linear, stochastic chains with memory can be found in diverse, nanoscale, scenarios. We believe that our results are not only important to Molecular Biology but also to other more general small systems, as well as to Computer Science and Communication theory, because the generation, edition and transfer of information rely on the use of linear, stochastic chains of interacting objects, either physical or symbolic. For example, the composition of human language or the generation of genomes throughout evolution could be treated under the general scheme described here.

* Corresponding author: ricardo.arias@imdea.org

[1] Arias-Gonzalez, J. R. Single-molecule portrait of DNA and RNA double helices. *Integr. Biol.* **6**, 904-925 (2014).

[2] Bustamante, C., Cheng, C. & Mejia, Y. X. Revisiting the Central Dogma One Molecule at a Time. *Cell*, **144**, 480-497 (2011).

[3] Bérut, A., Arakelyan, A., Petrosyan, A., Ciliberto, S., Dillenschneider, R. & Lutz, E. Experimental verification of Landauer's principle linking information and thermodynamics. *Nature*, **483**, 187-190 (2012).

[4] Landauer, R. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, **5**, 183-191 (1961).

[5] Shannon, C. E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, **27**, 379-423 (1948).

[6] Bennett, C. H. The Thermodynamics of Computation—A Review. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, **21**, 905-940 (1982).

[7] Brandão, F. G. S. L. & Plenio, M. B. Entanglement theory and the second law of thermodynamics. *Nature Phys.*, **4**, 873-877 (2008).

[8] Liu, B.-H., Li, L., Huang, Y.-G., Li, C.-F., Guo, G.-C., Laine, E.-M., Breuer, H.-P., & Piilo, J. Experimental control of the transition from Markovian to non-Markovian dynamics of open quantum systems. *Nature Phys.*, **7**, 931-934 (2011).

[9] Pathria, R. K. & Beale, P. D. *Statistical Mechanics (Third Edition)* (Academic Press, Boston 2011).

[10] Chandler, D. *Introduction to Modern Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 1987).

[11] Wang, M. C. & Uhlenbeck, G. E. On the Theory of the Brownian Motion II. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **17**, 323-342 (1945).

[12] Pressé, S., Lee, J. & Dill, K. A. Extracting Conformational Memory from Single-Molecule Kinetic Data. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **117**, 495-502 (2013).

[13] Breuer, H.-P. Foundations and measures of quantum non-Markovianity. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.*, **45**, 154001 (2012).

[14] Rivas, A., Huelga, S. F. & Plenio, M. B. Quantum non-Markovianity: characterization, quantification and detection. *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **77**, 094001 (2014).

[15] Cover, T. M. & Thomas, J. A. *Elements of Information Theory* (John Wiley & Sons 1991).

[16] Fisz, M. *Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics* (Krieger Publishing Company, 1980).

[17] Andrieux, D. & Gaspard, P. Nonequilibrium generation of information in copolymerization processes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **105**, 9516-9521 (2008).

[18] Arias-Gonzalez, J. R. Entropy involved in fidelity of DNA replication. *PLoS ONE*, **7**, e42272 (2012).

Acknowledgments

D. G. Aleja is thanked for fruitful discussion. Work supported by MINECO (grant MAT2013-49455-EXP).